



**Non-binding assessment criteria for the grading of dissertations
at the Faculty of Medicine Tübingen**

Version: August 2023

Criteria	summa cum laude, excellent 0,0	magna cum laude, very good 1,0	cum laude, good 2,0	rite, sufficient 3,0
Complexity of the research question and the scientific background	very high	high	average	rather simple
Degree of difficulty of the methods used	very high and new methods are developed	high	average	rather simple
Scope of work (total working time)	More than 1 year	about 1 year	about 9 months	about 6 months
Quality of execution of work	very high	high	average	sufficient
Quality of presentation in the dissertation	very high	high	average	sufficient
Quality of the results (as far as the candidate can influence them)	very high	high	average	sufficient
Presentation of results at conferences by the candidate	First authorship	First or co-authorship seems possible	Results can be included in the conference contribution	Results are of secondary relevance
Authorship required for publication in a peer-reviewed journal	Publication with first authorship is mandatory	First or co-authorship	Results can be included in publication	Results are of secondary relevance
Independence of the doctoral candidate	very high	high	average	sufficient
Doctoral candidate's own contribution	very high	high	average	sufficient

The grade "excellent" = "summa cum laude" = 0 can be devaluated by 0.3.

The grade "very good" = "magna cum laude" = 1 can be either upgraded or downgraded by 0.3 in each case.

The grade "good" = "cum laude" = 2 can be increased or decreased by 0.3.

The grade "sufficient" = "rite" = 3 can be upgraded by 0.3 with a plus sign.