



# **Metabolic Profiling of Ovarian Cancer Ascites Using high** performance liquid chromatography-tandem lon Mobility-High-**Resolution Mass Spectrometry**

Kefan Cheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Wooyong Kim<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jannik Sprengel<sup>1,2</sup>, Christoph Trautwein<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Core Facility Metabolomics, Medical Faculty University of Tübingen, Germany; <sup>2</sup>The M3 Research Center, University of Tübingen, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Werner Siemens Imaging Center, University Hospital Tübingen, Germany

## Introduction

> Ovarian cancer (OC) is the primary cancer most frequently associated with the abnormal production of peritoneal fluid, called malignant ascites <sup>[1]</sup>.

Ascites metabolite coverage with LC-MS and NMR



- > In a previous study by Yang et al. <sup>[2]</sup>, NMR-based metabolomics (600 MHz) was applied to identify the differences and correlations between metabolites in ascites from OC patients at different clinical stages.
- 4D-metabolomics approach was applied to the same samples used for NMR before in order to obtain complementary information. Compared to the traditional 3D-metabolomics LC-MS/MS workflow (retention time, m/z and MS/MS), the integration of **ion mobility** as an additional dimension significantly improves compound identification confidence by providing collisional cross section (CCS) values <sup>[3]</sup>.
- samples were analyzed using reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) and hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC), coupled with **Ion Mobility-High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry (IM-HRMS)** in parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation (PASEF) scan mode on a Bruker timsTOF pro2 system and MetaboScape work station.



## **HILIC Condition**

### LC-MS 34 NMR 11 564

> A Venn diagram showing the overlap of metabolites between two studies using HPLC-IM-HRMS and NMR. Only eleven metabolites in total are congruent between the two platforms.

## Metabolic variation across different cancer types and stages



> Sparse Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (sPLS-DA) scores plot comparing ovarian cancer at clinical stages III, IV, and a control group from other cancer types.

> A heatmap of metabolites in ovarian cancer at clinical stages III, IV, and the control. Out of 757 annotated metabolites, 16 significant metabolites (p < 0.05) were identified.



> Multiple ions are accumulated simutaneously in the ion funnel and sequentially transferred and



**Metabolomics profiling on different stages of ovarian cancer** 

- > Orthogonal Partial least squares discriminant analysis (oPLS-DA) scores plot from OC at clinical stage III and IV.
- > Volcano plot of differential expression results of OC at clinical stage III and IV. 96 significant metabolites (p < 0.05) were found out of 760 annotated metabolites.



fragmentated.

- > TIMS Stepping: Multiple TIMS ramps are mearured and merged together in a single final acquisition.
- > MS/MS Stepping: Masses transferred in each step can be fragmented with two customizable collision energies (CEs).

## Conclusions

- > Over 700 metabolites and lipids were annotated with high confidence using 4D annotation.
- > 34 metabolites were unique to NMR, while 564 metabolites were unique to LC-MS, showing the high complementarity of both techniques.
- > Metabolomic differences were successfully identified, distinguishing clinical stages of ovarian cancer as well as varying histological types.



> Principal Component Analysis (PCA) scores plot and volcano plot for ovarian cancer, specifically comparing Adenocarcinoma (subtype unknown) and High-Grade Serous Carcinoma (HGSC).

> Out of 755 annotated metabolites, 61 significant metabolites (p < 0.05) were identified.

**University of Tübingen** M3 Research Center **Core Facility Metabolomics** Otfried-Müller-Str. 37 · 72076 Tübingen· Germany Telefon +49 7071 29-82501 *kefan.cheng@med.uni-tuebingen.de* 

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Gyuntae Bae for support BRÚKÉR with data analysis and Bruker Daltonics for the cooperation and their continuous support.

## References

(1)) Kipps E, er al., Nat Rev Cancer. 2013;13(4):273–82. (2) Yang et al., Journal of Translational Medicine 2022; 20:581. (3) Pieter V, et al., Analytical Chemistry 2018;90 (19):11643-11650.